

## MHHS Cross Code Advisory Group (CCAG) Headline Report

Issue date: 28/11/2024

Meeting number	<b>CCAG036</b>	Venue	<b>Virtual – MS Teams</b>
Date and time	<b>27 November 2024 10:00-12:00</b>	Classification	<b>Public</b>

### New / Outstanding Actions

Area	Ref	Action	Owner	Due
Summary and Next Steps	<b>CCAG36-01</b>	Programme to upload v1.1 of CCAG 36 slides to the MHHS website	<b>Programme (PMO)</b>	29/11/24
	<b>CCAG36-02</b>	Programme to cancel December CDWG1	<b>Programme (PMO)</b>	29/11/24

### Decisions

Area	Ref	Decision
Headline Report and Actions	<b>CCAG-DEC61</b>	Headline Report of CCAG meeting held 23 October 2024 approved.
M7 Delivery Update	<b>CCAG-DEC62</b>	The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved that Milestone 7 (M7) has been achieved.

### RAID Items Discussed

RAID area	Description
None	

## Key Discussion Items

Area	Discussion
<p><b>Headline Report and Actions</b></p>	<p><b>DECISION:</b> Headline Report of CCAG meeting held 23 October 2024 approved (<b>CCAG-DEC61 – recording timestamp 00:02:54</b>).</p> <p>Updates were provided on the following actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <p><b>CCAG35-02</b> – The REC Code Manager added that there were no additional impacts observed from a REC perspective, other than the obvious extension to the change freeze which further delays the consumer benefits of those changes that are on hold, although not worsened by the additional six-week delay. They added that they are always mindful of any change that is approved and the resulting potential impacts they may have on Code implementation, Qualification and Migration.</p> <p>BSC, DCUSA and CUSC also confirmed they haven't observed any impacts to their releases and similar to REC are constantly reviewing any changes that come in.</p> </li> <li> <p><b>CCAG35-03:</b> The REC Representative highlighted that the principles presented at PSG have not yet been formally agreed by the Code Bodies and therefore this action should be kept as ongoing.</p> </li> <li> <p><b>CCAG35-04:</b> Further information on the update presented at QWG on 12 November 2024 can be found in the meeting papers, located on the QWG page of the MHHS website.</p> </li> </ul>
<p><b>Horizon Scanning Log</b></p>	<p>The DCUSA Representative provided verbal updates on DCP444 and DCP445.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <p>They provided a verbal update on DCP443, stating that it was approved in early November and was implemented in line with the November release. They confirmed it was a minor change to cater for the MHHS Settlement equivalent of the LLFC and was consulted on in the consistency check change. It missed the M6 deadline, so will form part of the post M6 changes that are required by M8, and a formal update will be presented at December CCAG.</p> </li> </ul> <p>The REC Code Manager provided updates on the REC changes and highlighted new changes I0190, R0193 and I0222, that have been added to the Horizon Scanning Log.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <p>The Supplier Agent Representative queried if recently raised REC issue, I094, which is the CSS Change to allow prevent of registration by Market Segment should be added to the Horizon Scanning Log. The REC Code Manager stated that it should already be on the log but would take it away to sense check. <b>Post-meeting note:</b> REC issue I094 was added to the Horizon Scanning Log on 25 July 2024.</p> </li> <li> <p>The Energy Networks Representative added that it has been raised by the DNOs that the functionality of I0194 will need to be in place before Migration, otherwise there will be consequences for industry if they are not able to identify those who are exempted. They added that the DNOs did raise their concerns throughout the progression of P476 and were given assurance by BSC that the solution would be in place to ensure they wouldn't experience and issues, however, they believe I0194 suggests otherwise. The REC Code Manager confirmed it hasn't been concluded how those exemptions are managed in BSC, however, from a CSS perspective they are reviewing ways of reporting to ensure they have a list of the exemptions which is part of a wider piece of work that is being looked into.</p> </li> <li> <p>The Supplier Representative (Domestic) queried if the Energy Networks Representative believes it's a dependency for Migration to have the mentioned CSS functionality in place as they didn't believe this was the case and would be interested to understand the feedback around this.</p> </li> </ul>

<p><b>Reporting Milestones, CCAG Risks and Post-M6 Change</b></p>	<p>The Programme provided an update on the milestone status, risks and post M6/pre M8 Code Change Activities.</p> <p>The Programme outlined the key principles and assumptions for raising incremental Code changes, post M6 and pre M8. In addition, they presented the proposed timelines for these changes, highlighting that CDWG will be stood up to present the cross-code changes, before formally raising changes via Code Body governance. They explained that they are currently working on the controls around what changes are going to be raised which they will bring back to CCAG in December to report on in more detail.</p> <p>The Programme provided an update and some further detail on the central control log they have been working on. Discussions between the Programme and CCAG Representatives on the central control log have been summarised below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Programme asked CCAG if it would be useful to keep any changes on the control log that have been identified from this process but are deferred to post M10, or would it be better to not mention them. The Supplier Representative (Domestic) stated that visibility is key and that these changes should be available for everyone to see to avoid parties raising the same changes multiple times. The Programme confirmed they had planned to create a separate tab to log the post M10 changes.</li> <li>• The Supplier Representative (Domestic) queried if the authority approved versions of the Code drafting will be published on the MHHS website. The Programme confirmed these were updated last week and are available on the Collaboration Base of the MHHS website.</li> <li>• The Supplier Representative (Domestic) also queried how small housekeeping changes that have no impact, such as minor changes to the legal text, should be raised and what would be the process for raising those. The Programme confirmed that any queries around the legal text should be directed to the individual Code Body responsible for that wording, however, if there is any doubt, parties should contact the Code mailbox (<a href="mailto:code@mhhsprogramme.co.uk">code@mhhsprogramme.co.uk</a>), copying in an individual Code if you know they will be impacted. They added that they are planning to publish the control log on the Collaboration Base in December, and will provide an update at December CCAG.</li> <li>• The Supplier Agent Representative queried if it's planned for all the changes to be consolidated into one release. The Programme confirmed that each Code Body will issue their own change releases, which will consist of one REC and DCUSA change, three BSC changes as one will relate to the BSCPs, the other will be related to the Data specification, and then finally a Modification that is needed to address Appendix S4. They added that all changes will take effect around the same time, depending on their individual panel date.</li> <li>• The Supplier Agent Representative also asked the BSC changes will be raised as Change Proposals prefixed with CP, as per the BAU change process. The Programme explained that for BSC there will be two Change Proposals and one Modification. The Supplier Agent Representative asked if they will be published on the Elexon BSC website change page and will this be the only place they are visible. The Programme confirmed they will only be published on the Elexon BSC website change page, but we can reference them on the Collaboration Base, however the Programme has to acknowledge that they are exiting out of Programme Governance into Code Body Governance</li> <li>• The Elexon Representative highlighted that they have already done some work on the post M10 changes and were planning on bringing a backlog of changes to CCAG to ensure there is no duplication of work. The Programme confirmed that work Elexon have been doing has fed into the information that has been used for the central control log, so it is much of the same work, they will just need to double check that all of the changes reconcile.</li> </ul>
<p><b>M7 Delivery Update</b></p>	<p>Ofgem provided an update on the M7 planning.</p>

	<p>They confirmed that they have published their decisions on SCR Modification proposals which are available on their website should any parties want any further information.</p> <p>On the topic of the post M6/pre M8 Code Change Activities, Ofgem stated that they are comfortable that the Modifications and Change Proposals will be taken forward via BAU Code processes, but they will continue to keep their options open in regard to the potential use of their SCR powers.</p> <p>The Programme highlighted the requirement to formally check with CCAG if they are happy that M7 has been met and that the decision has been appropriately made.</p> <p><b>DECISION</b> – The Chair, acting with delegated authority of the MHHS Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), approved that Milestone 7 (M7) has been achieved (<b>CCAG-DEC62 – recording timestamp 00:40:55</b>).</p>
<p><b>M8 Code Implementation Update</b></p>	<p>The Code Bodies provided an update on the M8 Code Implementation/go-live.</p> <p>The Supplier Representative (Domestic) queried if there was going to be a new date for the session on REC consequential change that was deferred because of CR055, and if there was more information on the readiness assessment, parties were required to go through to make some of the changes. The REC Representative confirmed they will be hosting an engagement day to run through the changes to REC, which they will issue invites for once Ofgem’s decision on CR055 has been made. It is currently proposed to be held on 13 February 2025, and they are planning to send out invites ahead of Christmas. They also added that the readiness assessment will be for parties who are not Qualified by M10, in which REC will engage with these parties to ensure they have made all of the necessary changes to be able to do reverse Migration. The work and the timing of this activity is being reviewed in the context of CR055 and the updated plan for this activity will be published in the updated QA&amp;P document that is out for consultation in December.</p> <p>The DIP Manager confirmed that they have raised their first DIP Change Request (DCR0001) to align DIP Rules to MHHS Design. The REC Representative queried if the DIP Manager is going to be feeding into the pre M8 central control log. The DIP Manager confirmed they would and that a lot of the changes they already have on their internal backlog which they’re happy to share.</p> <p>The REC Representative also highlighted the importance of having DCAB in place at the point the DIP Manager is making decisions, such as DCR001. The DIP Manager confirmed that comms will be going out shortly on the commencement of DCAB and that the intention is to hold the first meeting in December.</p>
<p><b>Summary and Next Steps</b></p>	<p><b>ACTION</b> – Programme to upload v1.1 of CCAG 36 slides to the MHHS website (<b>CCAG36-01</b>).</p> <p><b>ACTION</b> – Programme to cancel December CDWG1 (<b>CCAG36-02</b>).</p> <p>The Supplier Agent Representative queried if BSC had an update on their guidance documents. The Elexon Representative confirmed the guidance notes will be released in tranches, starting with the high priority ones that will be released in Q1 of 2025, and are planning to publish them all before M8. They added that they can bring a further detail to December CCAG on their release dates.</p> <p>The Supplier Agent Representative also queried when a decision can be expected from Ofgem on CR055. The Ofgem Representative confirmed they are aiming to publish their decision on Friday 29<sup>th</sup> November 2024.</p>

**Next CCAG: 18 December 2024 at 2pm**

**Next CDWG1: Cancelled**

## Attendees

### **Chair**

Chris Welby (CW)

### **Role**

SRO Chair

### **Industry Representatives**

Christopher Day (CD)

Paul Saker (PS)

Clare Hannah (CH)

Fungai Madzivadondo (FM)

Neil Dewar (ND)

John Lawton (JL)

Andrew Wallace (AW)

Jacob Snowden (JS)

Jenny Sarsfield (JS)

Bradley Baker (BB)

Andrew Green (AG)

Elexon Representative

Supplier Representative (Domestic)

Supplier Agent Representative

Energy Networks Representative

National Grid ESO Representative

DCUSA Representative

REC Representative

BSC Representative

DIP Manager

DCC Representative

Supplier Representative (I&C Suppliers)

### **MHHS Programme**

Andrew Margan (AM)

Lola Gbadamasi (LG)

Katie Dyson (KD)

Matthew McKeon (MM)

Steven Bradford (SB)

Code Lead

Project Manager

PMO Governance Analyst

### **Other attendees**

Harriet Truss (HF)

Andy MacFaul (AM)

Mark DeSouza (MD)

Sinead Quinn (SQ)

Tim Newton (TN)

Reece Harris (RH)

REC Code Manager

Ofgem

Elexon

Ofgem

Gemserv

IPA

### **Apologies**

David Kemp (DK)

Jenny Boothe (JB)

Rhiannon Harrison (RH)

Kanchan Thite (KT)

Fahreen Japp (FJ)

Jason Brogden (JB)

Lawrence Jones (LJ)

DCC Representative

Ofgem

PWC

PWC

Ofgem

Industry SME

BSC Representative

